



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|--|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/081,705   | 02/21/2002  | John Barthelow Classen | 22499-68466                        | 1273                   |
| 67283 7590 05/11/2007<br>MONTGOMERY, MCCrackEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP<br>123 SOUTH BROAD STREET<br>AVENUE OF THE ARTS<br>PHILADELPHIA, PA 19109 |             |                        | EXAMINER<br>LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE |                        |
|  |             |                        | ART UNIT<br>2161                   | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |             |                        | MAIL DATE<br>05/11/2007            | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                                      |  |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/081,705 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CLASSEN, JOHN BARTHELOW |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>Etienne P LeRoux  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2161                        |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 250-300 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 250-300 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Claim Status:***

Claims 250–300 are pending: claims 1-249 have been cancelled. Claims 250-300 are rejected as detailed below.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 285 and 298 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 285 includes “chronic immune mediated disorder” which is not described in the specification.

Claim 298 includes “new dosing regimen.”

Claim 250 includes “novel essential adverse event” which is not described in the specification.

Claim 250 includes “novel method of use” which is not described in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 280 and 293 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 280 is indefinite because it includes at least ten instances of “or”

Claim 293 is indefinite because it includes at least nine specific instances of the word “or” and a plurality of implied instances of the word “or.”

#### ***Art Rejection Precluded***

Claims 280 and 293 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Due to the indefiniteness of the claim, no art rejected is provided in this Office action.

Claim 285, 286 and 298 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Due to the lack of written description which prevents the examiner from understanding the present invention, no art rejection is provided in this Office action.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2161

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 250, 256, 257, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 278, 281, 282, 287-290, 292 and 294-297 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant disclosed prior art (ADPA) in view of Pub No 2002/0039990 (Stanton), hereafter Stanton and further in view of Pat No 5,991,751 (Rivette et al), hereafter Rivette.

Claim 250, 256, 270, 271, 274, 275, 278, 287-290, 292, 294 and 295-297:

ADPA discloses:

accessing one or more data sources [ADPA, paragraph 50]

wherein at least one data source comprises adverse event data [ADPA, Merck Manual, paragraph 50]

ADPA discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose analyzing and comparing adverse event data associated with a product of manufacture or device, with at least one previously-known adverse event associated with the product or device. Stanton discloses analyzing and comparing adverse event data associated with a product of manufacture or device, with at least one previously-known adverse event associated with the product or device [Stanton: paragraph 19, drug will be effective/drug will not be effective, Stanton paragraph 114, adverse events associated with chemotherapy drugs]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify ADPA to include analyzing and comparing adverse event data associated with a product of manufacture or device, with at least one previously-known adverse event associated with the product or device as taught by Stanton for the purpose of determining possible adverse effects of a drug.

Art Unit: 2161

The combination of ADPA and Stanton discloses identifying at least one novel essential adverse event associated with the product or device from the adverse event data, and then responsive to identifying of the essential novel adverse event, identifying the at least one new novel method of use for the product or device, [Stanton: paragraph 53, treatment method]

The combination of ADPA and Stanton discloses creating a database of proprietary essential adverse event information the database storing data regarding the at least one novel essential adverse event [Stanton: paragraph 99]

The combination of ADPA and Stanton discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose wherein the database comprises at least one of a patent application, patent publication, or data contained in at least one patent, patent application or patent publication. Rivette discloses wherein the database comprises at least one of a patent application, patent publication, or data contained in at least one patent, patent application or patent publication [abstract]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of above references to include wherein the database comprises at least one of a patent application, patent publication, or data contained in at least one patent, patent application or patent publication as taught by Rivette for the purpose of managing an inventor's database of intellectual property.

The combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette disclose documenting inventorship of the at least one novel method of use for the product or device [Rivette: abstract]

Claims 257, 281 and 282:

The combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette disclose the elements of claim 250 as noted above but does not disclose sales data. Official Notice is taken that sales data is well-

Art Unit: 2161

known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include the commercializing step further comprising generating information for incorporation into documents for selling, leasing or licensing the newly identified product information for the purpose of determining the value of commercializing a product.

Claims 272, 273 and 276:

The combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette disclose wherein the novel method of use is a restricted use in at least one population subgroup when there is observed to be high risk of at least one adverse event associated with exposure to or use of the product or device [Stanton, paragraph 90]

Claims 251, 252, 254, 258 and 279 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette and further in view of US Pat No 5,678,234 issued to Colombo et al (hereafter Colombo), as best examine is able to ascertain.

Claims 251, 252, 254 and 279:

The combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette discloses the elements of claim 250 as noted above but does not disclose determining value of commercializing the at least one new characteristic or use determined from the at least one identified essential adverse event.

Colombo discloses determining value of commercializing the at least one new characteristic or use determined from the at least one identified essential adverse event [col 3, lines 60-65]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette to include determining value of

Art Unit: 2161

commercializing the at least one new characteristic or use determined from the at least one identified essential adverse event as taught by Colombo for the purpose of making a profit.

Claim 258:

The combination of Leet, Rivette and Colombo discloses the elements of claims 250-252 as noted above and furthermore discloses a drug interaction [col 18, lines 50-65]

Claims 253 and 255 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette and Colombo and further in view of US Pat No 6,018,714 issued to Risen et al (hereafter Risen), as best examiner is able to ascertain.

Claims 253 and 255:

The combination of ADPA, Stanton, Rivette and Colombo discloses the elements of claims 250-252 as noted above but does not disclose the commercializing step further comprising generating information for incorporation into documents for selling, leasing or licensing the newly identified product information. Risen discloses the commercializing step further comprising generating information for incorporation into documents for selling, leasing or licensing the newly identified product information [abstract]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include the commercializing step further comprising generating information for incorporation into documents for selling, leasing or licensing the newly identified product information as taught by Risen for the purpose of deriving income from intellectual property.



Art Unit: 2161

Claims 259, 260, 261-269, 277, 283, 284 and 291 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette and Risen.

Claims 259 and 277:

Regarding claim 259, Official Notice is taken that raw commercial or sales data is well-known and expected in the art.

Claim 260:

Regarding claim 260, Official Notice is taken that proprietary information is well-known and expected in the art.

Claims 261-263, 266 and 267:

Regarding claim 261, Official Notice is taken that a medical product is well-known and expected in the art.

Claims 264, 265, 268 and 269:

Regarding claim 264, Official Notice is taken that a non-medical product is well-known and expected in the art.

Claims 283 and 284:

Regarding claim 283, Official Notice is taken that product exposure times are well-known and expected in the art.

Claim 291:

Regarding claim 291, Official Notice is taken that date of inventorship is well-known and expected in the art.

Claims 299 and 300 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette as applied to claim 250 above, and further in view of US Pat No 3,885,566 (Jacob), hereafter Jacob.

Claims 299 and 300:

The combination of ADPA, Stanton and Rivette disclose the essential elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose wherein the novel use further comprises providing novel printed product safety information in connection with product packaging. Jacob providing novel printed product safety information in connection with product packaging [col 1, lines 35-65]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include providing novel printed product safety information in connection with product packaging as taught by Jacob for the purpose of ensuring the safety of disposable diapers.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments submitted 3/29/2007 have been considered but are moot based on the new grounds of rejection required for newly amended claims 250-300.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P. LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Etienne LeRoux

5/8/2007

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Etienne LeRoux".

ETIENNE LEROUX  
PRIMARY EXAMINER